

Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center Community Outreach & Engagement Community Grant Scoring Rubric

Project Name:

Total Dollar Amount Requested:

Reviewer Name:

				Score
1. Organizational Capacity and Readiness (5 points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there evidence that the organization has sufficient experience to complete this project? • Is there evidence the organization has capacity to complete this project? • Is there evidence the organization is ready to complete this project? 				Please enter the score here
No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)	Poor (1-2 points): Little evidence the organization has experience, capacity, and readiness to complete the project.	Satisfactory (3-4 points): Some evidence the organization has experience, capacity, and readiness to complete the project.	Outstanding (5 points): Extensive evidence the organization has experience, capacity, and readiness to complete the project.	
2. Project summary and details (20 points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the project well thought-out and appropriate for the setting? • Does the project seem likely to succeed as described? • Is the need clearly identified? • Is the reach realistic and appropriate? • Is the target population appropriate and does the applicant have an existing relationship with the target population and/or a plan to engage that will lead to project success? 				Please enter the score here
No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)	Poor (1-10 points): Project meets some guidelines above.	Satisfactory (11-15 points): Project meets guidelines above.	Outstanding (16-20 points): Project meets and exceeds all guidelines above.	
3. How well does the project align with one or more of the cancer center's priorities? (5 points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Melanoma, lung cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, oral cavity and pharynx cancer, and/or cancer survivorship 				Please enter the score here
No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)	Poor (1 points): The project does not align with any cancer center priorities, or aligns very loosely with them.	Satisfactory (2-3 points): The project aligns with one or more cancer center priorities and somewhat addresses those	Outstanding (4-5 points): The project aligns well with one or more cancer center priorities and exceeds expectations in addressing them.	

<p>4. How well does the project align with one or more of the Holden Community Advisory Board guiding questions? (5 points)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does this work support patients facing obstacles to cancer treatment, screening, or trials? • Is this work related to a preventable cancer? • Does this work correct an imbalance in Iowa through broader resource availability? • Is this work related to a leading cause of cancer incidence in Iowa? • Are there communities and partnerships ready to engage around this work? • Is this work related to a leading cause of cancer mortality in Iowa? • Is this work related to a cancer with rising incidence rates in Iowa? • Is this work related to a screenable cancer? 				Please enter the score here
<p>No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)</p>	<p>Poor (1 point): The project does not align or very loosely aligns with one or more CAB guiding questions.</p>	<p>Satisfactory (2-3 points): The project aligns with one or more CAB guiding questions and somewhat addresses those.</p>	<p>Outstanding (4-5 points): The project aligns well with one or more CAB guiding questions and exceeds expectations in addressing them.</p>	
<p>5. How well does this project address one or more priorities, goals, and/or action steps within the Iowa Cancer Plan? (5 points)</p>				Please enter the score here
<p>No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)</p>	<p>Poor (1 point): The project does not address, or addresses very loosely, a priority, goal, or action step within the Iowa Cancer Plan.</p>	<p>Satisfactory (2-3 points): The project somewhat addresses a priority, goal, or action step within the Iowa Cancer Plan.</p>	<p>Outstanding (4-5 points): The project effectively addresses one or more priorities, goals, and/or action steps outlined in the Iowa Cancer Plan.</p>	
<p>6. Project objectives (15 points)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do the identified objectives align with the project description? • Are the identified objectives likely to help the project succeed? • Are the goals Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound (SMART)? 				Please enter the score here
<p>No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)</p>	<p>Poor (1-7 points): Objectives meet some, but not all, of the guidelines above.</p>	<p>Satisfactory (8-12 points): Objectives meet the guidelines above.</p>	<p>Outstanding (13-15 points): Objectives meet and exceed the guidelines above.</p>	
<p>7. Project partners (10 points)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is at least one collaborator from Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center included? • Are identified partnerships likely to make the project stronger? • Is the partnership likely to be mutually beneficial and bidirectional? • Is the partnership likely to lead to strong and lasting relationships between Holden and community? 				Please enter the score here
<p>No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)</p>	<p>Poor (1-5 points): A partner from Holden is identified, but the partnership is unlikely to make the project stronger, be mutually beneficial, or lead to a strong and lasting relationship.</p>	<p>Satisfactory (6-8 points): A partner from Holden is identified, and the partnership is likely to make the project stronger. The partnership may or may not be mutually beneficial and</p>	<p>Outstanding (9-10 points): A partner from Holden is identified, and the partnership is highly likely to make the project stronger, be mutually beneficial and bidirectional, and lead to strong and lasting</p>	

		may or may not lead to strong and lasting relationships.	relationships between Holden and the community.	
8. Evidence and evaluation (20 points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the proposed evidence-based intervention likely to be implemented in an effective way? Are proposed evaluation plans realistic and likely to provide a measure of project success? 				
No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)	Poor (1-10 points): Implementation approach is unclear, infeasible, or misaligned with the intervention or strategy. Evaluation plan is vague or unlikely to meaningfully assess implementation success.	Satisfactory (11-15 points): The implementation approach is feasible and sufficiently detailed. Evaluation plan is clear, realistic, and includes reasonable measures of implementation success.	Outstanding (16-20 points): The implementation approach is comprehensive, feasible, and highly likely to support effective delivery. The evaluation plan is robust and well-aligned with project goals, with clear measures of implementation quality and success.	Please enter the score here
9. Impact (10 points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is it likely this project will have an impact on the cancer burden in Iowa? 				
No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)	Poor (1-5 points): Limited description of or evidence that this project will have an impact on the cancer burden in the population of focus.	Satisfactory (6-8 points): Reasonable description of and evidence that this project will have an impact on the cancer burden in the population of focus.	Outstanding (9-10 points): Substantial evidence that this project will have an impact on the cancer burden in the population of focus.	Please enter the score here
10. Budget (5 points) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the proposed budget likely to successfully support the project as outlined? Do the budgeted amounts add up? 				
No Answer or Incomplete (0 points)	Poor (1-2 points): Proposed budget does not appear to support the project as outlined. Budget items may not add up.	Satisfactory (3-4 points): Budgeted amounts add up. Proposed budget is expected to reasonably support project as outlined.	Outstanding (5 points): Budgeted amounts add up, reasonably support project, and requests are well justified.	Please enter the score here
Total score (out of 100 points)				Please enter the score here

Please briefly describe your overall enthusiasm for this project. Your answer will be particularly useful in the event we have multiple applications with the same/similar scores:

Please enter text here

Notes to support or explain scores (optional):

Please enter text here